



Faculty of Engineering and Surveying

University of Southern Queensland

CRITICAL ISSUES IN PRECISE MACHINING OF OVERSIZE MOULDS IN POLYSTYRENE

A Dissertation submitted by

Muhammad Razif Mahadi

MSc (Biosystems Engineering), BSc (Mechanical Engineering)

For the award of

Doctor of Philosophy

2011

Abstract

Parts made from fibre reinforced plastics (FRP) are first laid up on a polystyrene mould. Hence dimensional accuracy is dependent on the quality of the mould. If the parts are oversize, larger than a metre or so, the moulds must be machined in sections and fitted together. There is therefore substantial advantage in a new machining system that can manufacture the mould as one piece. Currently, moulds are machined on a multi-axis robotic or CNC machine that removes material using a milling cutter. When an observation of the current system was conducted on site, the industrial partner gave permission to use their CNC milling robot for cutting expanded polystyrene. A medium density board was also tested. The cutting forces were recorded. It was found that adapting the same cutting strategy was inefficient. The design of such a machine must be based on a new set of requirements.

A number of design factors must be considered. The new machine would be massive and expensive unless three critical issues were resolved at the design stage; cutting approach, instrumentation for control of accuracy, and innovation in the drive.

For removing polystyrene, slicing was considered as an alternative to milling. The fundamental operations of slicing are discussed, from simple pushing of the blade to the incorporation of sideways forces and motions. When using a straight blade, the cutting action can be assumed to act along a straight line. For a curved blade, the straight line was replaced by an effective sector curve, measured from an imaginary centre. While a curved blade and a disk blade work with the same principle, the central axis of a disk blade is fixed, thus the effective sector curve is constant. This

allows the disk blade to provide constant sideways force uni-directionally. For slicing, the cutting surface has been evaluated by carving polystyrene with a variety of blades. Later, the force required for cutting with a disk blade was analysed. It was confirmed that a higher cutting speed generated higher cutting forces, but for equal feed rates the cutting force was substantially lower than that required for milling.

The size of the machine was set at five metres by five in plan and two metres in height. It was necessary to devise a position transducer that could operate over these distances, while giving a resolution of a tenth of a millimetre. A transducer was devised based on the visual interpretation of graduations on a precision tape with markings at two millimetre intervals. The concept was embodied using a self-built line-scan camera. The camera performed scans at one or two millisecond intervals, leading to the choice of two millimetre graduations as a compromise between precision and the risk of aliasing at a speed of up to half a metre per second.

A Cartesian gantry configuration was selected as the base platform for the cutting machine. A light weight solution was studied. Traction was provided to each axis using a soft rubber wheel and a pair of DC motors, mounted on the slider. It was possible to combine more than one motor for actuating the slider, if necessitated by heavier loads. In the development of the transducer, a displacement of five metres was achievable with the required placement accuracy. The embedded microcontroller that formed part of the transducer was equipped with an algorithm for precise movement control. Using a nonlinear velocity demand strategy enabled the motion parameters to be optimized.

In summary, construction of a full-sized prototype axis has enabled the critical issues to be researched thoroughly. This had created a clear path to the final design.

CERTIFICATION OF DISSERTATION

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analyses, software and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

Signature of Candidate

Date

ENDORSEMENT

Signature of Supervisor

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Fresh from graduation of Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering from the California State University, Sacramento, I was working as process engineering for a manufacturing firm in Malaysia. Then, I was offered a tutor position at the Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia. Part of the job is to conduct research and development in the application of mechatronics in agriculture. In order to enhance my knowledge, I had successfully completing a Master of Science Degree in Biosystems Engineering at the University of Manitoba Canada. Upon returning home, I have planned for a future research that integrates agriculture with mechatronics. The field is new in Malaysia. Therefore, the best way is to learn from an international expert. Then, a scholarship was successfully secured from the Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia.

In mid 2006, Professor John Billingsley of the University of Southern Queensland, Australia had accepted my application to conduct research in mechatronics under his supervision. It was a great pleasure for me to have him as my mentor. While preparing for the dissertation proposal, we were informed that the Buchanan Advanced Composites (BAC) was proposing a new machining project. A meeting was set between Mr. Norm Watt (Managing Director of BAC), Professor Billingsley and I. The project was not directly related to agriculture, but the concept was similar. Hence, the Advanced Control Research group of the Faculty of Engineering and Surveying, USQ and BAC had agreed on a collaboration.

Mr. Tim Harris of BAC was appointed as the liaison officer between the research group and BAC. As a liaison officer, Tim had provided valuable input about the operation and major problems with the current system.

Under the guidance of Professor Billingsley, I had developed a general framework for the research. Initially, the overall plan was too wide for a research person to handle within a reasonable time frame. Then a committee that consisted of Professor Billingsley, Dr. Sam Cubero, the Associate Dean of Research David Buttsworth, Dr. Paul Wen, Mr. Tim Harris and Mr. Rex Parmenter had advised that the research scope should be narrowed down to the most critical issues that challenge precise machining in oversize polystyrene large moulds for fibre layup.

As the research was progressing, some components were needed and fabricated. The support team of the Faculty, Bratt, Terry, Chris, Brian, Mohan and Adrian were always there to provide assistance. There were times when I had problems with the circuit board that I had designed. It was Dean Beliveau who helped me in troubleshooting.

As time passing by, Tim had moved on to another firm, Jake Williams had taken over the position as the liaison officer. In the beginning, the transducer was tested under the lab condition. Then, the time had come when the performance of a full scale prototype gantry need to be observed and verified. Utilizing his skills as a machinist and a designer, a full size prototype gantry was finally fabricated. Resourceful, creative and patient, Jake was the greatest ally I ever had during this entire journey.

Commuting between the BAC plant and the campus was a huge problem. Fortunately, Wendy Watt was more than happy to give me a lift.

While working on the cutting characteristics of expanded polystyrene, two practical training students from the local high school, Cody and Morgan, were more than willing to assist in the experiments. In return, I had provided some basic introduction to AutoCAD. They were very pleased, and I really appreciated the time we had spent together.

Working on the project was part of the requirement; the other was translating the entire process into a dissertation format. I would like to thank to Dr Selvan Pather for his comments on my earlier drafts. I would also like to thank to Juanita Ryan for helping me with the administrative issue.

A day at work was sometimes very stressful. I was blessed to have Fariza, my lovely wife and Hassan my little one. They were always there to support me, in good times and bad times.

Thank you everyone.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
CERTIFICATION OF DISSERTATION.....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
Table of Contents	viii
Table of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xvi
Chapter 1	1
Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background	2
1.2 Field Observation on Milling Polystyrene	4
1.2.1 Expanded Polystyrene.....	6
1.2.2 Experimental Set-Up.....	8
1.2.3 Results and Preliminary Discussion.....	11
1.3 Implication	13
1.4 Chapter Organization	14
Chapter 2	16
Literature Review and Objectives.....	16
2.1 Cutting Oversize Moulds in Polystyrene	16
2.2 Transducer for Long Travel	19
2.3 Design of a Machine for Oversize Cutting	21
2.3 Objectives	23
Chapter 3	24

Characteristics and Force of Slicing in Polystyrene	24
3.1 Introduction.....	24
3.2 Fundamentals of Slicing	25
3.2.1 Pushing.....	26
3.2.2 Sideways Movement.....	29
3.2.3 Curved blades.....	31
3.2.4 Serrated edge.....	34
3.3 Materials and Methods.....	35
3.3.1 Carving.....	35
3.3.2 Cutting Force Based on Disk Cutter	39
3.4 Results and Discussion	41
3.4.1 Carving.....	41
3.4.2 Force, Torque and Rake Angle	43
3.4.3 Cutting Force on Disk	45
3.5 Summary	47
Chapter 4.....	49
Direct Pattern Encoding for Precise Displacement of Long Travel.....	49
4.1 Introduction.....	49
4.2 Review of Transducer Design.....	51
4.2.1 Measurement Methods.....	51
4.2.2 Phase Manipulation and Codes	54
4.2.3 Sensing Element.....	55
4.2.4 Illumination.....	57
4.2.5 Positioning Control in Machining.....	58
4.2.6 Wireless Linkage	59

4.4 Design Consideration.....	64
4.4.1 Patterns.....	65
4.4.2 Pattern Mapping.....	66
4.4.3 Resolution	71
4.4.4 Displacement and Control.....	73
4.5 Design of a Transducer	77
4.5.1 Mechanical and Optics.....	78
4.5.2 Hardware.....	80
4.5.3 Task Scheduling.....	82
4.5.4 Communication and Protocol	83
4.6 Results and Discussion	86
4.7 Summary	105
Chapter 5.....	106
Design for Leight weight in a Long Travel Gantry	106
5.1 Introduction.....	106
5.2 General Configuration	112
5.3 Design of the Traverse Member	118
5.3.1 Cross Sectional Geometry.....	118
5.3.2 Innovations in Direct Drive	124
5.3.3 Traction	128
5.3.4 Traverse Slider	133
5.3.5 Bidirectional Control	139
5.4 Other Components	140
5.5 Results and Discussion	141
5.6 Summary	148

Chapter 6	149
Conclusion and Future Works	149
6.1 Conclusion	149
6.1.1 Publication	151
6.2 Future work.....	151
Reference	153
Appendix	159

Table of Figures

Figure 1. 1 (a) High density polystyrene foam, (b) Low density polystyrene foam.....	7
Figure 1. 2 Experimental set up	10
Figure 2. 1 Basic construction of a hot wire cutter.	17
Figure 3. 1 Forces reaction as a soft material is cut through push action based on a simple wedge (Williams, 1998).	27
Figure 3. 2 Addition of sideways motion in the cutting process	30
Figure 3. 3 Forces interaction in generic curved blades (Atkins et al., 2004).	32
Figure 3. 4 Blades for carving exercise (a) common straight blade with smooth edge (b) Power hand saw (serrated edge).....	36
Figure 3. 5 Surface of a raw high density expanded polystyrene	37
Figure 3. 6 Various types of disk blades.....	38
Figure 3. 7 Design notes for D1 and D2	38
Figure 3. 8 Test set-up for cutting force resistance on powered disk blades	40
Figure 3. 9 Results of creating a <i>table top</i> on polystyrene using various blades	42
Figure 3. 10 Closed up view of the results shown is figure 3.6.....	42
Figure 3. 11 A sample of cutting surface with smooth edge disk.....	44
Figure 3. 12 Sample of cutting surfaces with groove edge.....	45
Figure 4. 1 Types of phototransistor arrays	56
Figure 4. 2 Repetitive patterns and the major information provided the image	66
Figure 4. 3 Image of the pattern, taken at time, t_0	68

Figure 4. 4 Image of the pattern, taken at time, t_I	69
Figure 4. 5 Conceptual design of the direct image encoding transducer; the Front View shows the LEDs arrangement, while the Profile View shows the inner configuration on of the camera.	79
Figure 4. 6 Main features of the TSL1401R-LF linescan chip for sensing the edges of the repetitive patterns.	81
Figure 4. 7 Inner configuration of components for the first prototype.	89
Figure 4. 8 Test arrangements for the first prototype using repetitive patterns printed on a paper.	90
Figure 4. 9 The post threshold signal of the image of the repetitive patterns, taken at 50 ms exposure period.	91
Figure 4. 10 Signal intensity in volts, due to direct illumination on the TSL1401 linescan array.	94
Figure 4. 11 The central spot of the view scope exhibit higher intensity due to convergence of light from the vertical and horizontal axis.....	95
Figure 4. 12 Two high brightness LEDs illuminating the compound lens along the direction of motion.....	96
Figure 4. 13 Evenly distributed patterns in analogue form with two LEDs side by side.	97
Figure 4. 14 Uniform intensity for both peak using two linear arrays of LEDs illuminating the top and bottom side of the view scope.	98
Figure 4. 15 Evenly distributed post threshold signals for the patterns in the view scope.	98
Figure 4. 16 Application of linear array of LEDs to ensure uniformity in the signal across the entire view scope.....	99

Figure 4. 17 Lab test for optical calibration.....	101
Figure 4. 18 Cycle of <i>falling</i> source.....	101
Figure 4. 19 Cycle of <i>rising</i> source.....	102
Figure 4. 20 Final version of the linescan transducer	103
Figure 4. 21 Slider controller unit.....	103
Figure 4. 22 Full scale lateral track test set-up	104
Figure 4. 23 The fabricated lateral slider with direct drive traction unit and the designed transducer that read patterns from a two millimetres surveyor tape.....	104
Figure 5. 1 Sliding joints in gantry configuration.....	107
Figure 5. 2 Simplified configuration of an overhead rail gantry	113
Figure 5. 3 Simplified configuration of a ground rail gantry.....	114
Figure 5. 4 Deflection based on cutting in traverse direction	115
Figure 5. 5 Deflection based on cutting in longitudinal direction	115
Figure 5. 6 Deflection of cutting along the traverse axis after the inclusion of additional support column in longitudinal axis.....	116
Figure 5. 7 Deflection of cutting along the longitudinal axis after the inclusion of additional support column in longitudinal axis.....	117
Figure 5. 8 Conceptual design for the cutting gantry.....	117
Figure 5. 9 Planes of interest on the traverse beam	119
Figure 5. 10 Solid square beam.....	120
Figure 5. 11 Hollow square beam	121
Figure 5. 12 Solid triangular beam	121
Figure 5. 13 Hollow triangular tubing	122
Figure 5. 14 Hollow square beam with moment along horizontal plane at midspan.	123

Figure 5. 15 Hollow triangular beam with moment along horizontal plane at midspan	123
Figure 5. 16 Hollow rectangular beam with moment along horizontal plane at midspan	124
Figure 5. 17 Test rig for direct drive-direct power concept	126
Figure 5. 18 Design of rollers for direct drive – direct power take up	127
Figure 5. 19 Force reaction of soft wheel on rigid track.....	129
Figure 5. 20 Relationships between footprint and the wheel radii	130
Figure 5. 21 Direct drive slider with linear bearing as passive rollers for load support	135
Figure 5. 22 Drive unit as installed to the traverse slider frame	136
Figure 5. 23 The fabricated drive unit	137
Figure 5. 24 Pulleys arrangement for power transfer between the drive motor and the drive wheel (traction roller)	137
Figure 5. 25 Sample plot of a cycle in forward movement.....	144
Figure 5. 26 Error measurement of a meter positioning track test.....	146
Figure 5. 27 Measured displacements at various <i>posdiv</i> constant, with a target position of 1000 mm	147

List of Tables

Table 1. 1 Typical engineering properties of expanded polystyrene blocks.....	8
Table 1. 2 Parameters of the test set-up	9
Table 1. 3 Mean cutting forces, work and Work/Energy ratio	12
Table 3. 1 Summary of force and torque for D1, D2 and D3	43
Table 3. 2 One-way ANOVA for comparison between feed speeds, (1) slow, (2) medium (3) fast	46
Table 3. 3 One-way ANOVA for comparison between the directions of cutting disks, (1) clockwise (2) counter clockwise	46
Table 3. 4 Mean and standard deviation at three levels of feed speeds (1) low speed, (2) medium speed, (3) fast speed	47
Table 4. 1 Comparison of wireless standard capability (Safaric and Malaric, 2006)..	63
Table 5. 1 Logical input to the H-bridge.....	139